

## Coffey, Sarah

---

**From:** Douglas Johnson <djohnson@ndcresearch.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 07, 2018 5:20 PM  
**To:** 'SusanStallard'  
**Cc:** Shalice Tilton; Coffey, Sarah  
**Subject:** Pacifica districting public comment

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

The Population Units are simply combinations of Census Blocks, and they must follow Census Block boundaries (which unfortunately often do not follow precinct lines). But the idea of the Population Units is that they are blocks to be combined together to build districts. If someone wanted to have two representatives for the Vallemar neighborhood they could use the different Population Units to draw a map that did that, but if residents want to keep Vallemar united they certainly can do by simply placing all of the area's Population Units in one district.

Thank you for your interest and input!

- Doug

Douglas Johnson  
National Demographics Corporation  
310-200-2058  
[djohnson@NDCresearch.com](mailto:djohnson@NDCresearch.com)  
[www.NDCresearch.com](http://www.NDCresearch.com)

---

**From:** SusanStallard <stallardsl@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 7, 2018 5:12 PM  
**To:** Pacifica@NDCresearch.com  
**Subject:** Form submission from Draw Pacifica Contact Us

**Name**

Susan Stallard

**Email**

[stallardsl@earthlink.net](mailto:stallardsl@earthlink.net)

**Message:**

I looked at the map that shows Population Units Map. I don't have the time to learn how to work the software to create a proposal for districting, but I do have a comment / question. Are all proposed maps required to use the Population Units that I found on the online map ( C 2016 CALIPER)? The Population Units look to me as though they were created by someone who is unfamiliar with the geography (or cultural history) of Pacifica. In particular, it doesn't make sense to me to divide the Vallemar district into three separate Pop Units. There is only one road into and out of Vallemar, thus it is a very cohesive area. There are two voting precincts in Vallemar, divided into front and back of the valley, but the map of the Pop Units shows a very different division. I would not support any district map that divides Vallemar up in the way shown on the website.

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

## Coffey, Sarah

---

**From:** david leal <dleal00@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 11, 2018 4:59 PM  
**To:** Pacifica@NDCresearch.com  
**Cc:** Coffey, Sarah  
**Subject:** Pacifica City Council Districting Map Feedback

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Hello,

I am having trouble with the map tools but want to convey my opinion on how the maps should be drawn. Each of the 5 districts should have a western edge on the Pacific Ocean. How our city is managed regarding the ocean and access to the coast is a very important topic and all city council members should have a district which as a piece land that touches the ocean. Doesn't matter if it's 1 foot or 1 mile, each district should touch the ocean. Having an eastern border which touches another municipality is less in priority to accomplish my suggestion.

Please let me know if there are any questions or clarifications regarding this.

Thank you,  
David Leal

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.

## Coffey, Sarah

---

**From:** Douglas Johnson <djohnson@ndcresearch.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 11, 2018 8:02 PM  
**To:** Coffey, Sarah  
**Cc:** Shalice Tilton  
**Subject:** FW: Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association  
**Attachments:** FSIA Map Footprint.jpeg; FSIA Units filed with San Mateo County Recorder.jpeg

---

**From:** office@fairmontassociation.com <office@fairmontassociation.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 11, 2018 7:51 PM  
**To:** Pacifica@NDCresearch.com  
**Cc:** Sue Beckmeyer <suebeck@gmail.com>; Mike O'Neill <mikethecouncilman@gmail.com>; John Sebastinelli <sebastinellij@gtlaw.com>  
**Subject:** Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association

Dear Project Consultants.

The Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association failed to send the map to this e-mail yesterday and only sent it to Council Member Sue Beckmeyer on Friday, November 9, 2018.

Is it too late to be considered? There are 1533 homes within the Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association footprint. Attached is the area map and the listing of Units filed with the San Mateo County Recorder's office.

At the Executive Board meeting of the Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association, the Board discussed the issue regarding designating voting districts within Pacifica City limits.

Please note that the Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association, which has 1533 single family member households, strongly desires to be kept intact and be designated as its own voting district as our existing membership demographics already satisfy the requirements of the California Voting Rights Act. Fairmont Subdivisions Improvement Association was incorporated on August 29, 1962, and its interests and that of its membership are consistent with the requirements of the California Voting Rights Act. As such, the Board passed a Board Resolution on October 29, 2018, meeting in accordance with the above.

Please advise, if something else needs to be submitted for the FSIA to be considered, please let me know.

With best regards  
and on behalf of  
The Board of Directors,

*Kathy Johnson  
FSIA Office Manager  
650-359-5075*

Click [here](#) to report this email as spam.



FSIA MAP/FOOTPRINT

FAIRMONT SUBDIVISION:

1. Fairmont Sbddivision Improvement Assoc Covenants and Restrictions filed with the San Mateo County Recorder's Office:

UNIT I. - In Vol 4318, page 334, Oct 24, 1962 (Blocks 1, 3 thru 9 & Parcels A, (B & D)  
 UNIT IA - In Vol 4810, page 312, Oct 2, 1964 (Portion blocks 3 & 6)  
 UNIT IB - In Vol 4964, page 264, June 3, 1965 (Blocks 1 & 2)  
 UNIT 2A - In vol 4503, page 372 July 16, 1963 (Parcels G,H,I,K & L, Blks 10 T (14, also Parcels O& P)  
 UNIT 2B - In Vol 5025, page 210, <sup>SUPP</sup> Sept 13, 1965 (Portion blocks 10 & 14, Block ( 15 )  
 UNIT 2C - In Vol 5025, page 192, <sup>SUPP</sup> Sept 13, 1965 (Portion blocks 14 & 15, Blk 2 ( thru 24)  
 UNIT 2D - In Vol 4865, page 554 Dedember 28, 1964 (Portion block 10)  
 UNIT 3 - In Vol 4619, page 699, Jan 21, 1964 (Blocks 16, 17 & 18)  
 UNIT 4 - In Vol 4716, page 353, May 22, 1964 (Blocks 26 thru 31) (Blocks 31, 35 & 36)  
 UNIT 4B- *Same as 4* (Blocks 1 & 2, Blocks 5 th ( 9 )  
 FAIRMONT WEST - In Vol 5190, page 12, July 14, 1966 ( 9 )  
 FAIRMONT WEST 2A - In Vol 5341, page 140, July 28, 1967 (Blocks 3 & 4)  
 FAIRMONT WEST 2B- In Vol 5493, page 718, Jun 27, 1968 (Blocks 3 thru 7 & Parc E )  
 UNIT 4A This area is not Fairmont Sub, Condos on Hickey, gateway & Firecrest (Blocks 32 and 33)

ABOVE UNITS ARE IN BOOK OF MAPS:

UNIT 1	FAIRMONT WEST 2A
UNIT I A	FAIRMONT WEST 2B
UNIT I B	
UNIT 2A	
UNIT 2B	
UNIT 2C Book 63, page 4 & 5	
UNIT 2D	
UNIT 3	
UNIT 4	
UNIT 4 A	
UNIT 4B	
Fairmont West	